Case 1:14-cv-02863-CM Document 49 Filed 05/09/14 Page 1 of 17

E4PTLAWA 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 BENJAMIN M. LAWSKY, Superintendent of Financial Services of the State of New 4 York, 5 Plaintiff, 6 V. 14 CV 2863 (CM) 7 CONDOR CAPITAL CORPORATION and STEPHEN BARON, 8 9 Defendants. 10 New York, N.Y. April 25, 2014 11 4:00 p.m. 12 Before: 13 HON. KIMBA M. WOOD, 14 District Judge 15 **APPEARANCES** 16 FRIEDMAN, KAPLAN, SEILER & ADELMAN 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: ANNE BEAUMONT 18 RAINA NORTICK CHRISTOPHER COLORADO 19 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMANN 20 Attorneys for Defendants BY: MICHAEL ROSENSAFT 21 ALSO PRESENT: NANCY RUSKIN, NYS Dept. of Financial Services 22 TODD BARON, Condor Capital 23 24 25

1 (Case called)

THE COURT: All right. As you know, this case is pending before Judge Colleen McMahon who is out of the district. I am the emergency Judge, the Part I Judge this week, and so I am handling for her whatever is still open in this case as of today.

Have you worked any of this out?

MR. ROSENSAFT: Your Honor, we have not reached on agreement with plaintiff to any of these issues.

THE COURT: As to any of the issues?

MR. ROSENSAFT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then I think I better hear first from Ms. Beaumont.

MS. BEAUMONT: We did not have an opportunity to send a letter in response to Mr. Rosensaft's letter, so I will address the points that I would have made there.

As detailed in the superintendent's moving papers, this case arises out of the defendant's long-running, knowing and deliberate theft of customers funds as well as the egregious mishandling of customers' very sensitive personal and financial information.

After a full investigation, the superintendent has brought this action and sought the Court's assistance to bring that to a halt. The TRO papers and the complaint were served, as directed by the Court, before 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

then I spoke with Mr. Rosensaft a number of times yesterday, and then at a little after 5:15, he called me and I called him back, and he requested a couple of things. The first was a confirmation that the TRO did not restrict certain loan servicing activities for current customers that might be construed as violating the asset freeze. The second was he requested that the department consent to modify the TRO to allow the payment of the payroll which is due today. And then third, he requested that the department consent to modify the TRO to allow Condor to resume taking on new business. I asked that he send me an email listing the specific activities relating to servicing existing loans that were in question as well as a list of the employees and amounts involved in the request as to the payroll. I also noted that there was certain information that was due under the TRO yesterday afternoon that I was not sure had been provided, and that that would be necessary to be provided.

About an hour later I received a list the loan servicing activities. And in response to that, I noted that — I had confirmed that the information which was required specifically by paragraph 21 of the TRO had not been provided, and I indicated that full compliance with that would be necessary before we could discuss modifying the TRO.

At midnight Mr. Rosensaft sent a copy of the payroll list that was submitted to the Court with the letter, and I saw

that upon getting up this morning and I sent it to my client and discussed it with them, and around 10 o'clock this morning requested some further information. Parts of the report are easy to read and parts don't speak for themselves, so we asked for some additional information. And the last of that additional information I received just after 1:00 p.m. this afternoon, right before I received a copy of the letter to the Court.

In the meantime, we were still trying to get the information pursuant to paragraph 21 of the order, and I learned just before walking into the courthouse now that we have all that information, and so that has been taken care of and we're pleased to see that.

So subject to full compliance with the TRO, including there is some information due on Monday afternoon as well, the department is, of course, open to discussing reasonable modifications to allow Condor to continue servicing existing customers. But the one thing that the department cannot consent to is lifting the ban on taking in new business. That ban is really the core of the TRO's protection of consumers, and the justification that's been suggested I think makes no sense on its face. It essentially says we don't mistreat our customers until they become our customers, so let us take on more customers. So we can't agree to that.

In addition, we're troubled by the timetable that

we're being put under to respond to these requests. Some of them we need more information to understand them. For example, there's one that I saw for the first time in this letter this afternoon regarding dealing with I guess in progress loan transactions, and we don't have information about how many loans are involved, where exactly those are in the process, for example, if they have been closed and the money just needs to get paid and so forth. It's very hard to respond to these requests under tight time pressure without full information.

THE COURT: Let me ask you if I were to give you time now to talk to your adversary, could you bring your negotiations to more of a close than they appear to be now?

MS. BEAUMONT: I expect on some, but not all of the issues.

THE COURT: Could you try that now?

MS. BEAUMONT: Absolutely, Judge.

THE COURT: And call chambers, call my law clerk and let me know when you have worked out everything you can and then I will know what is still in dispute.

MS. BEAUMONT: Thank you, your Honor.

(Recess taken)

THE COURT: Mr. Beaumont, have you reached an understanding on anything?

MS. BEAUMONT: Your Honor, we were able to come to an agreement on two items, number one and number two on page 3 of

Mr. Rosensaft's letter.

THE COURT: Sorry?

MS. BEAUMONT: It's on page 3.

THE COURT: Items what?

MS. BEAUMONT: Items one and two. With respect to the servicing of existing loans, it's of the utmost importance to the department that the existing customers be able to make payments on their loans and proceed and that their credit not be harmed. For that reason, the proposal in paragraph one is acceptable to the department.

And for similar reasons --

THE COURT: When you say paragraph one, you mean the one headed servicing existing loans?

MS. BEAUMONT: That's right. And then with respect to auctioning off current inventory, obviously that will generate proceeds that can be used to compensate customers, so we have no problem with that.

On the other two points we were not able to come to an agreement, partly for informational reasons and partly for more substantive reasons. With respect to payroll, we do have an agreement that Stephen Baron, the defendant, will not be paid.

With respect to the other employees, we have asked for some additional information to confirm that the proposed payroll is consistent more or less with the prior payroll, just so they're not additional payments in light of the current

situation, and we understand we will get that fairly quickly. It may be once we get that we may be able to be in a position to come to a further agreement in short order, but we're not prepared to do that now.

THE COURT: It's possible, is it not, that I could order that they be no higher?

MS. BEAUMONT: That would certainly make sense.

THE COURT: That would solve your problem, at least for the next few days.

MR. ROSENSAFT: I apologize, your Honor, I didn't hear what you said.

THE COURT: What I said was it might be that if I order that payroll payments be no higher now than they were during your last payroll time period, that would, I take it, solve the problem.

MR. ROSENSAFT: I think that would be a good solution in the interim, and obviously we're heard on Tuesday on the first issues.

THE COURT: So until Judge McMahon can hear you, I order that there be no payroll payments any higher than in the last pay period for each employee, and that no payment be made to Mr. Baron.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Thank you, your Honor.

MS. BEAUMONT: And just to be clear, since Mr. Todd
Baron, who is with us today, is not the defendant, it's Stephen

Baron.

THE COURT: I should have said Stephen Baron. Thank you.

MS. BEAUMONT: Then with respect to funding signed contracts, really there we just need more information. It seems that some of those borrowers or prospective borrowers are at different points in the pipeline, if you will, and some are perhaps at the finish line and some further towards the beginning, and without knowing who is where and the dollar amounts involved, we're nervous about having funds sent out of the company at this point, so we've asked for some additional information on that.

THE COURT: Now what would be the effect on the customers and dealers if you -- if the TRO remains in effect until next Tuesday?

MS. BEAUMONT: Well, I think -- I don't know,
Mr. Rosensaft may have to speak to that. I think it's
different for different customers and different for different
dealers, so we don't have that information before us, but I
will let Mr. Rosensaft say more if he has it.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Your Honor, our expectation, even though it's a short period of time, is if dealers believe they are not going to receive funding and not assured they're going to receive funding — these are loans for everything has been signed and everything is ready, the check just has to go out

the door. So dealers are all ready to receive this funding, and they're asking for it.

THE COURT: How much money is involved?

MR. ROSENSAFT: There are approximately -- we can give a full list, but there are approximately 50 loans or so. We would say approximately \$800,000 would be -- yes.

THE COURT: Can you give Ms. Beaumont what she needs in the next few hours?

MR. ROSENSAFT: We can do it immediately, your Honor, as soon as this hearing is over, your Honor, or even -- we could do it now, I could send Mr. Baron out to call his office and print that out, and we're happy to send that out to Ms. Beaumont immediately.

THE COURT: How would you print it out?

MR. ROSENSAFT: It would be run off the system.

THE COURT: How would we get it here?

MR. ROSENSAFT: It would be emailed, and I guess I would email it to a place here that we could print it out.

There's an additional complication in that DFS has been monitoring all the computer systems and has not allowed a full access to those computer systems, and so DFS individuals on site would also have to allow that access to occur so that could be printed out.

THE COURT: Now can this -- can your situation be placed on hold until Monday morning? It's now 5 o'clock.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Yes, your Honor, I understand. We were moving to try to do this quickly. Our fear is some dealers are likely to decide the funding is not coming under the circumstances and what they know in the news of the case, and are going to reach out to the customers to repossess cars.

THE COURT: You think they will do it between now and first thing Monday?

MR. ROSENSAFT: I hope not, your Honor, but I think -Mr. Baron indicates yes, they will, based on his experience
with them. These are only -- just to be clear, these are only
the contracts that are ready to go immediately, these are not
contracts where they have not been agreed upon where the
customer does not have a signed agreement with the dealer
already. The customer has a signed agreement with the dealer,
the customer has the car. These are done contracts where the
customers are going to be affected adversely.

THE COURT: Ms. Beaumont?

MS. BEAUMONT: Your Honor, this is the first I'm hearing of this repossession issue. I don't really know how to assess it, and so I'm not really in a position to say that it's founded or unfounded concern. It would surprise me if, under the circumstances, a dealer would not wait to see what the Court does next week. It's been just as much publicized about the case that there's a hearing on Tuesday. So we would ask that if we could wait until Monday morning, that will enable

us -- there is a issue with the computer systems having been down all day, which need to get remedied, so I'm not confident this can get dealt with today in any event as a practical matter.

THE COURT: Which computers were down?

MS. BEAUMONT: Condor's computers were down.

THE COURT: All right. I will leave the TRO in place until Monday morning.

MS. BEAUMONT: Thank you, Judge.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Your Honor, for clarification, I think it would help — if your Honor's intention is to lift it on Monday morning, I think it would help if we could tell the dealers as to this point we are providing information about these loans to DFS, but we expect them to be funded on Monday morning, or something along those lines, to give them assurance.

THE COURT: I have no expectation, since I have no information.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Okay, your Honor. Understood, your Honor.

THE COURT: But if you share this information over the weekend with Ms. Beaumont, and if she gives you the okay, then you can do that.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Thank you.

MS. BEAUMONT: I think that's it.

THE COURT: That's it?

MR. ROSENSAFT: The last point, your Honor, we have a disagreement about originating new loans, which is the biggest issue, your Honor, point five.

THE COURT: What would you like to say with respect to that?

MR. ROSENSAFT: Your Honor, since the temporary restraining order has been put in place, loans have been coming in anyway to the business. There were approximately 60 loans faxed --

THE COURT: You mean loan applications?

MR. ROSENSAFT: Loan applications, your Honor. I'm told they're contacts, your Honor.

To give your Honor a little bit of background, there are agreements already in place with the prospective dealers, so there are not additional agreements that get signed with the dealers. The dealers then send in the loans for financing to Condor, Condor does a credit check, Condor looks to make sure there's insurance and things like that, and then sends the funding to the dealers to finance these loans. So this is new business coming in, to be clear, your Honor, and dealers have submitted the information for the financing of these new customers already, they have been submitting them.

There have been no allegations raised by DFS as to any improprieties about how these loans are set up, how these loans

begin, or any of the contracts that happen at that point. The improprieties are that the records are not kept in a secure and safe manner, both electronically in files, and the major allegation — the most substantive allegation concerns customer credits where there are customer credits left in accounts that aren't specifically identified.

Right now DFS is in their office and monitoring everything that is being done by the employees. Mr. Baron has been told multiple times you can only turn on your computer if you're watched by DFS. They have been working with IT to work on their web site and security measures in their office. They are being watched and will be watched completely through Tuesday.

There will be no concern over these new customers and having credits not identified. These are all new loans. These are loan information we would be happy to provide immediately to DFS about what is being originated and what's coming in.

There is a message on web site itself indicating this is going on even, so that is probably going to lessen the amount of loans coming in.

But there are people at Condor that depend on the new loans coming in for these jobs. There are approximately 60 employees which constitute sales representatives, people that work in the credit department, and people that work at the funding department that are going to be adversely affected —

that have been adversely affected already by the TRO. They depend on bonuses and incentives. All of their salaries are structured such that there is some kind of base salary, but all of them, and for sales in particular, get a majority of their payments from the origination of these new loans and they won't have the salary.

THE COURT: A day between 5 o'clock, 5:05 on Friday, and the beginning of the day on Tuesday when Judge McMahon is hearing you, I can't see that that time frame makes a difference to what you're saying.

MR. ROSENSAFT: I understand, your Honor, it's not as substantial as if there were a longer delay, and we're talking about a few days. I can tell you that it does matter to a lot of these employees.

THE COURT: I'm sure it does. I'm sure it matters whether the loans go through, but I doubt -- unless you tell me otherwise, I doubt that it matters that there is a weekend and one business day delay.

MR. ROSENSAFT: Saturday is actually the most significant day for these workers getting new loans and financing new loans. That is -- Mr. Baron can correct me if I'm wrong, but my understanding that is the bulk of the week in terms of originating loans. That is the busiest day and the most substantial day. Most of these workers are paid on a weekly basis, so to not have Saturday -- they're prohibited

from doing any soliciting, any marketing, to not have Saturday will translate to --

THE COURT: Not to have Saturday to do what?

MR. ROSENSAFT: Solicit loans, accept loans.

THE COURT: I'm a little confused. You started talking about contracts, existing contracts, and now you're moving to soliciting new loans.

MR. ROSENSAFT: This is a separate point, your Honor, and sorry if it wasn't clear. There is the original point, point number four, of funding the existing contracts that we spoke about that we're going to get DFS the information they requested and hopefully have this resolved Monday morning. Then there's the fifth point about the bar against any activities soliciting or originating new loans.

THE COURT: I understand now. And obviously, Saturday is a key day in giving new loans.

Let me hear Ms. Beaumont on that.

MS. BEAUMONT: Your Honor, as I noted at the outset, the ban on taking on new business is really the heart of the temporary restraining order. And there's a great deal of concern, both from what the department served since they have been in there on Wednesday, and both in, quite frankly, the tone of the letter from Mr. Rosensaft to the Court that seems to try to minimize the seriousness of this conduct, and really to shift blame to the department for the consequences of the

remedies that we are trying to put into place.

The department is well aware and has taken into account the ramifications of this for the defendant's business, and we did not bring this action or seek the TRO without due deliberation and consideration for the varying interests at issue there. And this lawsuit comes after not a single incident but really a pattern of years of resistance by Condor to the department's efforts to put in place controls and put in place sound and safe business practices. And while we appreciate the expressions that we just heard this afternoon of concern for customers, they're coming very late in the game, and only after we have really put the defendants' feet to the fire, so we take them with a grain of salt. And we do not think it is appropriate to expose any new customers to possible harm, because that would defeat entirely the purpose of this action and the temporary restraining order at this point.

THE COURT: All right. Given how brief the time period is between today and when you will be heard by Judge McMahon, I'm going to leave the temporary restraining in place. I find that the balance of hardships tips towards the plaintiff, and in fact, that there is a likelihood of success on the part of plaintiff, and I believe that only minimal damage would be suffered by the defendant if it is not able to originate loans on Saturday, Sunday and Monday.

Is there anything further?

MR. ROSENSAFT: Nothing from defense, your Honor, 1 2 other than did your Honor want us to -- we prepared a proposed 3 order that will have to be modified in line with the Court's rulings. Did your Honor wish us to modify that now? 4 5 THE COURT: I'll hand it down for you and Ms. Beaumont to agree on a modification, and the one that has the better 6 7 handwriting can write it in. 8 MR. ROSENSAFT: I will let Ms. Beaumont write it in. 9 MS. BEAUMONT: He's obviously never seen my 10 handwriting. 11 (Pause) 12 THE COURT: I have signed the modification to the TRO, 13 and it's available for you. I think what we need to do is make 14 some copies for ourselves. 15 Thank you. We'll be adjourned. Have a good weekend. 16 MR. ROSENSAFT: You too, your Honor. 17 MS. BEAUMONT: You too. 18 000 19 20 21 22 23 24 25